

May 23, 1975

if the request is made to re-read LB 216. I talked to the Attorney General and he says that he believes it would be alright, but it would be much better to read it again to be double safe. Senator Clark has already told you that this particular matter is going to court. I don't want to give them any particular farther to go to court with. I believe the rules were not suspended to that time. They were suspended to about 30 minutes ago. I would ask that 216 now be read.

PRESIDENT: Senator Clark.

SENATOR CLARK: I believe he said I was going to take this to court, nothing could be further from the truth.

PRESIDENT: Alright. The question now is whether or not this is a change in the Speaker's order. It seems that since we probably had an administrative oversight in not doing this earlier it would be almost an absolute prerogative of any member to make this request. The Chair is going to entertain that motion and direct the Clerk to re-read 216E. We are on Final Reading.

CLERK: Read title.

(machine turned off)

SENATOR KOCH: I ask for a point of personal privilege. Point of order and information. There's an error in this bill where it has been submitted. My amendment on there, the right to attend and the privilege to speak. It says the right to attend and the right to speak. On page 5, section 5, lines 17 and 18. Now it's a privilege down below in another section, but that change is exactly what I was attempting to make the change on in the previous section. It's not correct. It's an error in drafting. I'm reading from the corrected copy, at least the one I have. Section 5, page 17. Page 5, section 5, line 17.

PRESIDENT: The Chair will entertain a motion, Senator Koch.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: Yes sir.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I'm familiar with the way the amendment was drafted by Senator Koch because I discussed it. I made specific reference to the fact that it was not consistent, that he amended it only in the subsection 2, that there was another place above it where the word "right" existed, and that for consistency that this "privilege" ought to be restored to "right". If you look in your bill book you'll see that Senator Koch's amendment dealt with section 5, subsection 2. He struck the word in one place only.

PRESIDENT: Senator Anderson.

SENATOR ANDERSON: Mr. President, Senator Chambers is right. If you'll turn to your Legislative Journal on page 1832, you'll see that the amendment is to page 5, section 5, subsection 2, which is the way this copy is read. I think